A study of the influence of the mobility on the phase transitions of the synchronous SIR model

Roberto da Silva[1], Henrique A. Fernandes[2]
[1] *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Bento Gonçalves, 9500 - CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil*
[2] *Coordenação de Física, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Campus Jataí, BR 364, km 192, 3800 - CEP 75801-615, Jataí, Goiás, Brazil*

arXiv:1411.7105v1 [physics.soc-ph] 26 Nov 2014

By using an appropriate version of the synchronous SIR model, we studied the effects of dilution and mobility on the critical immunization rate. We showed that, by applying time-dependent Monte Carlo (MC) simulations at criticality, and taking into account the optimization of the power law for the density of infected individuals, the critical immunization necessary to block the epidemic in two-dimensional lattices decreases as dilution increases with a logarithmic dependence. On the other hand, the mobility minimizes such effects and the critical immunizations is greater when the probability of movement of the individuals increases.

PACS numbers: 02.50.-r; 05.40.-a; 89.65.-s
Keywords: SIR model, Refinement of critical parameters, Models with absorbent steady state, Time-dependent Monte Carlo simulation

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of critical phenomena in epidemic models defined on lattices has an important hole in the context of Statistical Mechanics[1–3]. However, such approach is relatively recent since the mathematical modelling of an epidemic process was introduced via differential equations[4]. The advantages of the first approach in relation to differential equations framework are the possibility of studying fluctuations, whereas it takes into account correlations, and clustering effects.

In this context, the non-equilibrium phase transitions of systems that possess absorbing states have been vastly studied, as can be seeing in the literature (see, for example, Refs.[2,3]), and can be didactically separated in two kinds: with and without immunization.

Epidemic models without immunization belong generically to the Directed Percolation (DP) universality class. In this case, the finite size scaling near criticality can be described by the following general scaling relation:

$$\langle i(t)\rangle \sim t^{-\beta/\nu_\parallel} f(\Delta t^{1/\nu_\parallel}, L^{-d}t^{d/z}, d_0 t^{\beta/\nu_\parallel+\theta})$$

where $i(t) = \frac{1}{L^d}\sum_{j=1}^{L^d}\sigma_j$ is the density of infected individuals in a $d-$dimensional lattice at the time t and $\langle\cdots\rangle$ means the average on different evolutions of the system. The individuals σ_j are arranged on the sites j of the lattice (where $j = 1, \cdots, L^d$, and L is the linear size of the lattice) and can be in two different states, infected (or active) and susceptible (or inactive). When the individual is infected, one considers $\sigma_j = 1$ and otherwise $\sigma_j = 0$. The exponents β, $\nu_\parallel$, and $\nu_\perp$ are static critical exponents, while $z = \nu_\parallel/\nu_\perp$ and θ are the dynamic ones. Here, $\Delta = p - p_c$ denotes the distance of a point p to the critical point, p_c, which governs the algebraic behaviors of the two independent correlation lengths: the spatial one which behaves as $\xi_\perp \sim \Delta^{-\nu_\perp}$ and temporal one, $\xi_\parallel \sim \Delta^{-\nu_\parallel}$. Basically, $\xi_\perp$ must be thought of as the average over many independent realizations of the cluster diameter while $\xi_\parallel$ is the same average of the required time to reach the absorbing state.

Representing this universality class, we can consider, for example, the susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) model which corresponds to the Domany-Kinzel cellular automata when considering the synchronous update and to the contact process when one takes into account the asynchronous update. The SIS model represents diseases for which the infection does not confer immunity, i.e., the individual return to the susceptible class after recovering from the infection. For this model, we expect a crossover between two power-law behaviors when starting the simulation with a small density of infected sites, $i(t=0) = i_0 \approx 0$, at criticality ($\Delta = 0$):

$$\langle i(t) \rangle = \begin{cases} t^{\theta} & \text{if } t < t_0 \\ t^{-\beta/\nu_{\parallel} z} & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

where the crossover time depends on $t_0 \sim i_0^{-(1/\beta/\nu_{\parallel}+\theta)}$. This critical initial slip is very similar to the behavior of magnetic systems when they are quenched from a high temperature to the critical one[5].

Such behaviors can be studied from MC simulations. The first one (when $t < t_0$) is obtained by putting only one infected individual in the center of the lattice and all other sites are occupied by susceptible ones ($i_0 = 1/L^d$). The second behavior can be obtained by making $i_0 = 1$ (representing a fully infected lattice). However, in models with immunization, the initial condition $i_0 = 1$ does not reproduce the second power law. Actually, in this case, an exponential relaxation is expected.

In addition to the SIS model, a range of other models can be studied in order to represent a desired epidemic. For instance, one can consider the susceptible-infected (SI) model to study the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) whereas there is no recovery. However, when the disease is such that the individual can recover and be immune to the disease, at least for some time, one must consider a new class of recovered individuals, R. If, after recovering from the disease, the individual becomes immune to reinfection, the epidemic can be studied through the susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) model. Nevertheless, if the individual can be reinfected with the disease after some time, one can consider the SIRS model. In addition, there are other models which demand other classes such as exposed (E), hidden (H), and maternally-derived immunity (M), in order to represent infectious diseases.

The first studies about lattice-based models for epidemic growth is due to Grassberger[1], who considered a simple cellular automata to show that exists a critical contamination value such that, below this point, no infinite epidemic is possible, i.e., the epidemic does not percolate. In his pioneering work about epidemic model with immunization, Grassberger[1] raised important questions about epidemic process, and one of them, in particular, caught our attention: "... A somewhat more subtle question is whether one can allow also for mobility of individuals...". Unfortunately, no work has explored the phase transitions and the preservation of some scaling relations for epidemic models with immunization despite this excellent tip.

In this work, we elaborate a detailed study of the influence of the mobility of individuals on the phase transitions of the SIR model. For that, we separate our main contributions in three parts as follows:

1. Elaboration of a refinement procedure to determine the critical parameters via time-dependent Monte Carlo

Simulations for models with absorbing states;

2. The influence of dilution on the finite size scaling behavior of the synchronous SIR and the dependence of the critical parameters on the density of individuals in the lattice;

3. How the mobility can affect the parameters at the transition point, more precisely the critical immunization probability (c)?

Our work is divided in sections as follows: In the next section, we present the SIR model implemented as cellular automata and show how to add the dilution and mobility in this model. Moreover, we show how to adapt a refinement procedure, developed in Ref.[6] (when studying spin systems in the context of time dependent MC simulations), in order to determine the critical parameters of models with absorbing states. In Sec. III we present our main results. Finally, a brief discussion of the results as well as some conclusions of our findings are presented in Sec. IV.

II. SIR MODEL WITH DILUTION AND MOBILITY AND TIME-DEPENDENT SIMULATIONS

The susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) model[4] is a paradigmatic model in the theory of epidemics, and can be considered as a good and simple model to mimic some infectious diseases including measles, mumps, and rubella. Defining $S(t)$ as the number of susceptible individuals at time t in a population, $I(t)$ the number of infected ones, and $R(t)$ the number of recovered (immune) ones, a deterministic (mean-field) approach of the traditional SIR model considers the following set of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS}{dt} &= -\beta I(t)S(t) \\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta S(t)I(t) - \gamma\, I(t) \\ \frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I(t), \end{aligned}$$

where β is the contact rate which takes into account the probability of getting the disease in a contact between a susceptible and an infected subject, and γ is simply the recovering rate of infected individuals. This originally non-linear problem was proposed by Kermack and MacKendrik and no generic analytic solution is known. Naturally, we can note that $\frac{d}{dt}(S+I+R)=0$ which means that $N=S+I+R$ is a constant of the problem: the total number of individuals. More precisely, this version of the SIR model does not suppose vital dynamics, i.e., an epidemic where a single epidemic outbreak moves faster than the normal birth/death rates.

In order to take into account the correlation and cluster effects in an epidemic spreading, Grassberger[1] considered a synchronous probabilistic (cellular automaton) version of the epidemic model on a regular lattice. A particular and interesting version of the synchronous SIR model is obtained when the rates β and γ are given by the probabilities b, the infection probability, and c, the recovered one. In addition, in this particular version $b+c=1$ as it should.

In this version, each site can be in the following states: occupied by a susceptible, an infected or an immune individual. The stochastic dynamic is governed by the following rules: The infection can occurs when a susceptible

individual, which occupy a given site of the square lattice, has at least one neighbor occupied by an infected individual. This process occurs with probability $b/4$ times the number of infected individuals in its neighborhood. The recovering process occurs spontaneously with probability c when the site is occupied by an infected individual. Recently, Arashiro and Tome[7] have proposed a version of the SIR model as a particular case of the prey-predator model implemented as cellular automata. In that work, they showed that when keeping the immunization rate (c) plus infection rate (b) exactly equal to 1 and considering every site of the lattice occupied with a susceptible, infected or recovered individual, a transition between the active and inactive phases occurs for $c_c = 0.22$. This is the critical value of the infection probability, where occurs the transition from a phase where the density of recovered individuals, $r(t)$, is equal to 1 (meaning that b is high enough such that the whole population, after contaminated, become recovered) to a phase where $r(t) = 0$ (whereas b, in this case, is low enough such that only few individuals, or even no one of them, become recovered). The authors performed several independent runs of a lattice completely filled with susceptible individuals except by one infected site at the center of the lattice and the system evolved according to the synchronous update. After a reasonable number of steps, any one of the infinitely many absorbing states can be reached and consequently, the number of immune individuals at the steady state varies from run to run. The simulation is finished when the system enters in an absorbing state. Then, the number of recovered individuals is calculated. The mean value of this quantity, divided by the total number of individuals, is the density of immune individuals at the steady state, $\langle r(t) \rangle$, as presented above.

Although this model has been considered for the case where the density of individuals is $\rho = 1$, i.e., no vacancies are presented in the lattice, in many real applications some sites of the lattice can be empty, affecting therefore the critical properties of the model. Previous studies in other Statistical Mechanical models (see for example diluted Ising model[8,9]) show that phase transitions are changed when $\rho \neq 1$. By extending the applications for other diluted lattices, Vainstein *et al.*[10] explored not only the influence of dilution on the cooperation among prisoner dilemma players but also the effects of the mobility of players. Vainstein *et al.*[10] considered the mobility simulated by a simple random walk in the two-dimensional lattice. In their prescription, each player can only jump to its nearest neighbor at random and when there is at least one nearest-neighbor site empty. Thus, this jump occurs with probability p. Otherwise, the player remains where it is.

For an epidemic process, it is not different and infections must be changed not only by dilution but mainly by mobility! In this paper, we analyse the influence of mobility of individuals on the phase diagrams of the SIR model. For this task, we consider its synchronous version implemented according to Ref.[7]. On the other hand, the mobility was implemented according to the prescription used in Ref.[10].

For the refinement process of the critical parameters, we use a method developed in Ref.[6] and vastly explored in our previous contributions in which we studied magnetic systems[11–13]. To our knowledge, this is the first time that this kind of refinement is applied to a model without a defined Hamiltonian.

Basically, we perform time dependent simulations by starting with the system completely filled with susceptible

individuals but the individual at the center of the lattice which is contaminated with the disease. By considering $N_{\rm runs}$ different runs, we calculate the average time of the density of infected individuals of a square lattice of linear size L through the equation

$$\langle i(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{N_{run}}\sum_{k=1}^{N_{run}}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{L}\sum_{l=1}^{L} m_{l,j,k}(t)\delta_{1,\sigma_{l,j,k}(t)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{L}\sum_{l=1}^{L} m_{l,j,k}(t)}.$$

Here, m is 0 when the site (l,j) is empty or 1 when it is occupied by an individual at the time t of the $k-$th run. In addition, σ denotes the state of an individual: susceptible ($\sigma=0$), infected ($\sigma=1$), or recovered ($\sigma=2$), L is the linear size of the lattice and $l=1,\cdots,L$ ($j=1,\cdots,L$) stands for the $l-$th line ($j-$th column) of the lattice.

Since at criticality it is expected that $\langle i(t)\rangle \sim t^{\theta}$, the method/algorithm changes the values of the immunization rate, c, according to a resolution Δc from $c_{\rm min}$ up to $c_{\rm max}$ in order to find its best value, i.e., the critical immunization probability, c_c. Then, we calculate the known coefficient of determination[14]

$$\alpha = \frac{\sum_{t=t_{\rm min}}^{N_{MC}}\left(\overline{\ln\langle i\rangle} - a_1 - a_2\ln t\right)^2}{\sum_{t=t_{\rm min}}^{N_{MC}}\left(\overline{\ln\langle i\rangle} - \ln\langle i(t)\rangle\right)^2} \tag{1}$$

for each value of $c = c_{\rm min} + i\ \Delta c$, where $i = 1, ..., \lfloor (c_{\rm max}-c_{\rm min})/\Delta c\rfloor$ and N_{MC} is the number of MC steps. Here a_1 and a_2 come from the linear fit of $\ln\langle i(t)\rangle$ versus $\ln t$, and $\overline{\ln\langle i\rangle} = (1/(N_{MC}-t_{\rm min}+1))\sum_{t=t_{\rm min}}^{N_{MC}}\ln\langle i\rangle\,(t)$. In addition, $t_{\rm min}$ is the number of disregarded MC steps at the beginning of the simulation. The coefficient α has a very simple explanation: It measures the ratio (expected variation)/(total variation). The bigger the α, the better the linear fit in log scale, and therefore, the better the power law which corresponds to the critical parameter excepted for an error $O(\Delta c)$.

III. RESULTS

By using the optimization method presented in the previous section, we determined the critical immunization probability (c_c) for different values of dilution occupation $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and mobility $0 \leq p \leq 1$. For time-dependent simulations, we used $t_{\rm min} = 100$ MC steps and $N_{MC} = 800$ MC steps. Let us start with the simplest case where $\rho = 1$ which corresponds to the critical value $c_c = 0.22$ obtained by Arashiro and Tomé[7] whereas there is no empty site in the lattice. As shown in Fig. 1 (a), our simulations pointed out for a maximum of α corresponding to $c_c = 0.2200(25)$ which corroborates the value from literature. Basically, our refinement process changes c with a precision $\Delta c = 0.0025$ and in addition, the time-dependent simulations are performed with $N_{run} = 2400$ runs for each value of c.

Fig. 1 (b) shows that the model presents a phase transition for $c_c = 0.22$ corroborating the value found in Fig. 1 (a). This is shown by calculating $\langle r\rangle$, the average of recovered individuals, in the steady state (absorbing state). We considered for these simulations $N_{run} = 4000$ and the maximum number of MC steps $N_{MC}^{(\max)} = 3000$ to ensure that the steady state is reached for each value of c studied. Such a result corroborates the result obtained by the refinement process (Fig. 1 (a)). The optimization described by Fig. 1 (a) can be visually observed in Fig. 1 (c).



FIG. 1: **Figure (a)**: Values of the coefficient of determination α as function of c for the case $\rho = 1$. **Figure (b)**: Finite size scaling for the density of recovered individuals as function of c. The phase transition is observed in $c = 0.22$. **Figure (c)**: The search for a power law behavior of $\langle i(t) \rangle$ for different values of c around $c_c = 0.22$ via time-dependent Monte Carlo simulations. The value of c which corresponds to the curve with linear behavior corroborates the value found in Figs. (a) and (b).

Since we observed that the optimization method described in Sec. II works for the standard case, we decided to apply this approach to study the phase transitions of the SIR model when there is dilution of the lattice and mobility of the individuals. First of all, it is worth to describe how the dilution effects can change the critical immunization rates. In order to study the dependence of c_c with ρ when $p = 0$ (static scenarios), we changed ρ from 1 up to 0.70 by considering $\Delta\rho = 0.05$. We applied the refinement process and determined the best c (corresponding to the highest α) for each $\rho-$value.



FIG. 2: **Figure (a)**: Critical Immunization rate (c_c) versus the density of individuals (ρ) for $p = 0$. We can observe a linear dependence on $\ln \rho$. **Figure (b)**: Illustration of one case of the refinement process for $p = 0$ and $\rho = 0.7$.

Fig. 2 (a) shows the behavior of c as function of $\ln \rho$. We observed a logarithm law for $p = 0$ where $c_c = a + b \ln \rho$, with $a = 0.2196(5)$ and $b = 0.434(2)$ which produces a very good fit: $\alpha = 0.9998$. The plot (b) of Fig. 2 shows the optimization curve ($\alpha \times c$) for the more diluted case ($\rho = 0.7$). Since we have already studied the case $p = 0$ (without mobility), now we turned our attention to the mobility of the individuals in the lattice. By using the same procedure for each value of ρ, we performed simulations in order to obtain the critical immunization c as function of p. Firstly,

we can observe in Fig. 3 (a) the phase diagram for the case where $\rho = 0.85$ and $p = 0.30$.



FIG. 3: **Figure (a)**: Phase diagram for $\rho = 0.85$ and $p = 0.3$. **Figure (b)**: Illustration of the time-dependent simulations for $\rho = 0.85$ and $p = 0.3$.

The phase transition occurs for $c_c = 0.1750(25)$ which is corroborated by time-dependent simulations as can be observed in the plot (b) of Fig. 3. According to our previous study, when $p = 0$, and for the same value of ρ ($\rho = 085$), we obtained $c_c = 0.1500(25)$. Hence, it suggests that the critical immunization rates must depend of p for fixed values of ρ. We explore such a dependence as can be seen in Fig. 4.



FIG. 4: Study of mobility effects on the critical immunization rates. Good fits with power functions $c(\rho) = \gamma(\rho)p^{\beta(\rho)}$ are observed.

In this figure, we can see that c increases as p increases. For ρ fixed, c_c was empirically fitted by a power function

ρ	1.0	0.95	0.90	0.85	0.80	0.75	0.70
γ	**0.22**	0.210(1)	0.200(1)	0.191(1)	0.182(1)	0.172(1)	0.164(1)
β	**0.00**	0.024(3)	0.048(3)	0.074(4)	0.109(4)	0.151(2)	0.200(1)

TABLE I: Exponents obtained from curves of critical immunization c_c as function of p for different density of individuals

$c(\rho) = \gamma(\rho)p^{\beta(\rho)}$. The values $\gamma(\rho)$ and $\beta(\rho)$ are reported in Table I.

So, we can assert that critical immunizations increases as p increases. However, for $\rho \neq 1$, c_c never is greater than 0.22 which corresponds to $\rho = 1$, even for maximum mobility ($p = 1$).

IV. SUMMARIES AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on two-dimensional SIR model with synchronous update, we performed (by following a suggestion of the seminal paper of Grassberger[1]) a detailed study of dilution and mobility effects on the critical immunizations c, i.e., values of the recovering probability for which the epidemic transits from a not controlled regime (where all individuals are contaminated at sometime) to a controlled regime (few individuals or none at all get sick). We used a method to refine the critical parameters based on the optimization of the power law for the density of infected individuals along the time at criticality. Our work shows that the dilution of the lattice decreases the immunization c based on a log-law. However, this effects is minimized when the individuals have the possibility to move on the lattice. In this case, for a fixed density of individuals, the greater the mobility, the bigger the immunization rate.

Acknowledgments – This research was partially supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), under the grant 11862/2012-8. The authors would like to thank Prof. L.G. Brunet (IF-UFRGS) for kindly providing the computational resources from Clustered Computing (ada.if.ufrgs.br) for this work.

[1] P. Grassberger, Math. Biosc. **63** (2): 157–172 (1983)
[2] J. Marro, R. Dickman, Nonequilibrium Phase Transitions in Lattice models (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) (1999)
[3] H. Hinrichsen, Adv. Phys. 49, 815 (2000)
[4] W. O. Kermack, A. G. McKendrick, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **115**, 700-721(1927)
[5] H. K. Janssen, B. Schaub, and B. Z. Schmittmann, Z. Physik. B **73**, 539 (1989).
[6] R. da Silva, J. R. Drugowich de Felício, A. S. Martinez, Phys. Rev. E. **85**, 066707 (2012)
[7] E. Arashiro, T. Tomé, J. Phys. A 40 (5) 887–900 (2007)
[8] G. Mazzeo, R. Kuhn, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 3823 (1999)
[9] P.H.L. Martins, J.A. Plascak Phys. Rev. E **76**, 012102 (2007)
[10] M. Vainstein, A.T.C. Silva, J. J. Arenzon, Journ. Theor. Biol. **244**, 722 (2007)
[11] R. da Silva, N. Alves Jr., J. R. Drugowich de Felício, Phys. Rev. E **87**, 012131 (2013)
[12] R. da Silva, H. A. Fernandes, J. R. Drugowich de Felício, W. Figueiredo, Comput. Phys. Commun. **184**, 2371 (2013)
[13] R. da Silva, H. A. Fernandes, J. R. Drugowich de Felício, Phys. Rev. E **90**, 042101 (2014)
[14] K. S. Trivedi, Probability and Statistics with Realiability, Queuing, and Computer Science and Applications, 2nd ed. (John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 2002)